



Embodied Disruption: Gendered Subversions, Performative Agency, and Sociocultural Reform on the Malayalam Stage

A. T. Nasheeda

Research Scholar, Department of History Christ College (Autonomous), Irinjalakkuda, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Received: 20 Sep 2026; Received in revised form: 16 Oct 2026; Accepted: 20 Oct 2026; Available online: 25 Oct 2026

©2026 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract— This paper investigates the transformative trajectory of female performance in modern Malayalam theatre, tracing how women shifted from marginalized spectacles to active agents of cultural dissent. Through the analytical framework of Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity, this study interrogates the historical transition from female impersonation by male actors to the radical bodily presence of women on stage during the sociopolitical churn of mid-twentieth-century Kerala. By examining key dramatic movements—such as the anti-caste, progressive political dramas of the KPAC (Kerala People's Arts Club) alongside contemporary feminist experimental theatre—the paper demonstrates that the stage functioned not merely as a space of aesthetic reflection, but as a liminal arena where hegemonic patriarchal norms and caste codes were contested and rewritten. Butler's formulation of performativity illuminates how female actors redeployed bodily gestures, speech acts, and spatial negotiation to expose gender as a regulatory social construct rather than an innate essence. Concurrently, the paper addresses the enduring structural tensions of the medium: the persistent dialectic between the progressive radicalization of scripts and the moral surveillance, commodification, and domestic containment imposed upon female performers offstage. Ultimately, this study demonstrates that Malayalam theatre served as a vital site of counter-hegemonic practice, wherein women's embodied presence disrupted regional socio-aesthetic hierarchies, forged an alternative public sphere, and fundamentally reshaped the discourse of modern Kerala's sociopolitical renaissance.



Keywords— Malayalam theatre; Gender performativity; Embodied agency; KPAC and political drama; Social reform in Kerala

Introduction

The theatrical landscape of modern Kerala has consistently served not merely as an aesthetic mirror of society, but as a dynamic institutional crucible through which political ideology, caste hierarchies, and gender relations are negotiated, contested, and reconfigured. Throughout the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, public performance spaces across southwestern India operated within rigidly sanctified feudal structures, wherein corporeal visibility was circumscribed along strictly demarcated lines of caste purity, ritual protocol, and patriarchal surveillance. Classical and ritual traditions—

most notably Kathakali, Koodiyattam, and various sacred temple rituals—strictly regulated or outright barred the secular, non-ritualized female body from public theatrical display, routinely substituting female impersonators (stree-vesham) enacted by men to sustain the illusion of femininity while neutralizing the perceived moral, ritual, and sexual anxieties associated with women's authentic presence in the public sphere (Menon, 2005). Consequently, the physical arrival of women onto the modern Malayalam secular proscenium stage during the socio-political ferment of the mid-twentieth century represented an epistemological rupture in the region's cultural history, dismantling

entrenched taboos governing female public visibility, domestic confinement, and bodily labor (Panikkar, 1995).

To comprehend the political and aesthetic gravity of this spatial and corporeal transition, performance theory must intersect with the conceptual apparatus of gender performativity developed by Judith Butler. In *Gender Trouble* (1990) and *Bodies That Matter* (1993), Butler posits that gender is neither an essential biological reality nor an ontological interiority residing within the core of the subject; rather, it is a continuous, stylized repetition of acts, bodily gestures, linguistic rituals, and spatial enactments governed by hegemonic regulatory regimes. Butler asserts that "gender is the repeated stylization of the body, a set of repeated acts within a highly rigid regulatory frame that congeal over time to produce the appearance of substance, of a natural sort of being" (Butler, 1990, p. 33). Because gender must be constantly reenacted to maintain its illusory coherence, the very mechanism of its iterative production creates structural fissures, enabling sites of subversion where stylized acts can be performed askew, contested, or resignified (Butler, 1993). When mapped onto the historical emergence and evolution of the Malayalam stage, performativity ceases to be an abstract post-structuralist formulation; it becomes a tangible diagnostic tool to examine how the female performing body unsettled the entrenched disciplinary codes of colonial-era caste patriarchy and contemporary socio-political reform.

This paper critically investigates the transformative trajectory of women on the Malayalam stage, evaluating how the female actor transitioned from a transgressive, morally suspect spectacle into an indispensable agent of regional socio-political renaissance and radical feminist critique. Moving across key historical junctures—from early twentieth-century community reform plays like V. T. Bhattathiripad's *Adukkalayil Ninnu Arangathukku* (From the Kitchen to the Stage) and early political interventions, to the golden era of the Kerala People's Arts Club (KPAC) during the 1950s and 1960s, through to contemporary experimental feminist theatre spearheaded by groups such as Samata and directors like C. S. Chandrika, Sajitha Madathil, and Sreeja K. V.—the analysis traces the evolving stakes of female bodily visibility. Furthermore, this study unpacks the systemic dialectic that characterized Malayalam progressive performance: the persistent dissonance between the radical ideological scripts authored by male playwrights calling for egalitarianism, and the conservative moral surveillance, socio-economic marginalization, and domestic anxieties imposed upon the female performers whose physical labor animated those very scripts (Devika, 2007; Jeffrey, 1992). Ultimately, the paper demonstrates that women's embodied presence on the Malayalam stage disrupted caste-bound

visual economies, forged an alternative counter-public sphere, and asserted a radical performative agency that irreversibly redefined Malayali modernity.

Spatial Crossings and the Radical Body: Historical Transgressions from Temple Enclaves to Progressive Political Drama

The historicization of the Malayalam stage reveals that the physical presence of women on the secular platform required an aggressive boundary-crossing across private and public spheres. In traditional pre-modern Kerala, spatial mobility was structured through a matrix of feudal savarna (upper-caste) hegemony and colonial-Victorian domestic propriety, which collectively confined women within the inner sanctums of the ancestral domestic compound, or *tharavad* and *illam* (Saradamoni, 1999; Jeffrey, 1992). The public realm of artistic production was largely restricted to masculine bodies, with theatrical representation depending heavily on male transvestism. When male performers adopted feminine postures, ornate sartorial drapery, and exaggerated vocal registers to depict womanhood, they enacted a version of femininity that Butler conceptualizes as the discursive sedimentation of patriarchal ideals—a stylized mimicry devoid of biological female agency that reinscribed feminine docility and eroticized passivity for an exclusively male gaze (Butler, 1990; Case, 1988). The male actor's body served as a safe vehicle for feminine representation because it posed no ontological threat to the territorial division of domestic privacy and masculine civic space (Diamond, 1997; Aston, 1995).

The rupture of this spatial containment found its initial catalyst in the radical community reform movements of the late 1920s and 1930s. The Namboothiri Brahmin community, bound by suffocating orthodoxies that subjected *Antharjanams* (Namboothiri women) to absolute *purdah*, child marriage, and lifelong widowhood, became the focal point of dramatic contestation. In 1929, V. T. Bhattathiripad penned the seminal social drama *Adukkalayil Ninnu Arangathukku* (From the Kitchen to the Stage), a direct artistic assault on the caste-feudal cloistering of women (Bhattathiripad, 1988; Devika, 2005). The title itself encapsulates a radical spatial reorientation: moving bodily from the *adukkala* (kitchen/private interiority) to the *arangam* (stage/public visibility). Although female characters in the very first performances of the play were enacted by young male reformers due to the immense social terror surrounding the public exposure of *Antharjanams*, the text itself operated as an explosive performative utterance, inciting women within the community to shed their traditional protective umbrellas (*marakkuda*) and step into the public sphere (Bhattathiripad, 1988; Nilayamgode, 2011). Subsequent community

initiatives saw women performing themselves, a transgressive act that stripped the patriarch of his absolute monopoly over female visibility and moral governance.

As the nationalist struggle merged into the communist peasant and labor movements of the 1940s and 1950s, the stage transformed into Kerala's foremost vehicle of mass ideological mobilization. The founding of the Kerala People's Arts Club (KPAC) in 1950, spearheaded by left-leaning cultural activists, marked the decisive consolidation of modern political realism (Panikkar, 1995). Thoppil Bhasi's iconic production *Ningalenne Communistakki* (You Made Me a Communist), first staged in 1952, radically democratized the regional theatrical audience by touring agrarian hamlets, factory grounds, and village squares (Bhasi, 1952). Crucially, the production incorporated professional women actors into its core touring troupe, including pioneering performers like KPAC Sulochana and later, trailblazers such as KPAC Lalitha (Lalitha, 2013; Menon, 2005). The physical presence of women on the open, mobile KPAC stage was a revolutionary phenomenon: working-class and subaltern female characters—such as agricultural laborers, disenfranchised mothers, and defiant daughters—were no longer voiced through male ventriloquism, but through the vibrant, somatic labor of real women whose voices projected across rural crowds.

FEUDAL PARADIGM (Pre-1930s)

[Domestic Cloistering: Illam / Tharavad]



(Strict spatial exclusion;

Male transvestism on stage)



COMMUNITY REFORM (1930s-1940s)

[Adukkalayil Ninnu Arangathukku / Namboothiri Reform]



(Spatial rupture: Shedding 'Marakkuda';

Desire for secular female voice)



PROGRESSIVE POLITICAL THEATRE (1950s-1970s)

[KPAC & Professional Touring Troupes]



(Realist political performance;

Embodied female physical labor)



CONTEMPORARY FEMINIST AVANT-GARDE (1980s-Present)

[Autonomous Body / Non-Linear Subversions]

(Deconstruction of gaze, caste-patriarchy, and domestic scripts)

Within Butlerian theory, the entry of female actors into this politicized public arena constituted a radical resignification of the "regulatory norms" that had historically governed the female body (Butler, 1993, p. 2). By projecting resonance, delivering fiery polemical dialogues against caste oppression, and engaging in direct, unchaperoned physical interaction with male comrades on stage, women performers like KPAC Sulochana destabilized the traditional visual economy that conflated female visibility with moral degradation or sexual promiscuity. The stage ceased to be a passive proscenium of bourgeois entertainment; it became what Elin Diamond conceptualizes as a feminist mimetic space—an arena where social conventions are not merely mimicked but denaturalized through performance, exposing the artificiality of the traditional gender roles maintained by feudal-capitalist power structures (Diamond, 1997; Dolan, 1988). The communist stage endowed the female body with historical materialism: the female actor was visibly an exploited laborer, an agitating political subject, and an indispensable architect of the modern secular collective.

Nevertheless, this radical political visibility concealed deep structural contradictions that progressive male dramatists rarely addressed within their aesthetic paradigms. While women actors like KPAC Lalitha attained widespread admiration for their affective versatility and naturalistic emotional depth, their status outside the performance space remained precarious and strictly disciplined (Lalitha, 2013; Devika, 2007). In the cultural consciousness of middle-class Kerala, the woman who traveled with an itinerant drama troupe, slept in transit, and exposed her physical form to thousands of spectators was constantly vulnerable to moral stigmatization and institutional patriarchy (Seemanthini, 2001; Jeffrey, 1992). The progressive scripts of Thoppil Bhasi and his contemporaries, while revolutionary in their class and caste politics, frequently circumscribed female characters within comforting, paternalistic archetypes: the selfless, suffering mother; the chaste, politically supportive beloved; or the tragic, self-sacrificing victim whose purity must be avenged by the male revolutionary vanguard (Bhasi, 1952; Menon, 2005).

Consequently, the progressive political stage generated a curious paradox: it unlocked the public sphere for female somatic labor while disciplining that labor into normative scripts of modern, domestic respectability. In Butler's terminology, the performative acts allowed to women on the political stage were continually "recuperated" by the dominant heteronormative framework of the emerging Malayali nation-state (Butler, 1990; Devika, 2005). The female actor was granted an embodied presence provided that her character upheld bourgeois

familial morality and political subservience to the masculine party hierarchy. This persistent tension between revolutionary physical labor and ideological domestic containment established the artistic baseline against which later generations of feminist theatre practitioners in Kerala would violently react.

From Melodramatic Containment to Autonomous Flesh: Deconstructing the Male Gaze in Contemporary Feminist Performance

The limitations of mid-twentieth-century political realism led to the emergence of an autonomous feminist theatrical consciousness in Kerala during the late 1980s and 1990s. As women scholars, writers, and cultural practitioners began interrogating the canon of Malayalam drama, they observed that the "woman question" had largely been instrumentalized by male playwrights to construct a sanitized narrative of regional modernity (Devika, 2007; Kumar, 1993). In the mainstream commercial and professional dramas that proliferated across Kerala through the 1970s and 1980s—often referred to as commercial samithi natakams—the complex political agency that had momentarily flickered within early KPAC productions degenerated into heightened melodrama, formulaic emotional manipulation, and conservative domestic tropes (Panikkar, 1995). In these plays, women were systematically relegated to moral binaries: either the virtuous homemaker who anchors patriarchal stability or the westernized, sexually autonomous woman whose deviance precipitates familial devastation and moral retribution.

Feminist theatre emerged as a deliberate methodological revolt against both commercial melodramatic containment and the patriarchal paternalism of left-wing realism. Influenced by international feminist performance theory, third-world feminist critiques, and regional grassroots mobilizations, contemporary theatre makers in Kerala recognized that altering dramatic scripts was insufficient; the entire spatial, somatic, and visual relationship between the performer and the spectator had to be radically rebuilt (Aston, 1995; Case, 1988; Reinelt, 1996). Laura Mulvey's seminal formulation of the "male gaze," which demonstrates how classic visual representation constructs woman as an image to be looked at, coded with an erotic "to-be-looked-at-ness," provided a foundational critique for this shift (Mulvey, 1975, p. 11). For feminist directors and actors in Kerala, deconstructing this male gaze required interrogating the patriarchal scopic regime that historically viewed the female actor as either a sanctified maternal icon or an illicit sexual commodity (Madathil, 2010; Subramanyam, 2002).

A watershed development in this movement was the formation of feminist performance collectives and

autonomous productions that explicitly privileged female interiority, bodily autonomy, and anti-caste solidarity. Productions such as Ammadaivangal (Mother Goddesses), works produced under the banner of the feminist collective Samata, and solo performances by artists like Sajitha Madathil and Sreeja K. V. directly questioned the patriarchal and caste biases embedded in traditional theatrical forms (Subramanyam, 2002; Madathil, 2010). Sajitha Madathil's acclaimed production Matsyagandhi (2002), for instance, serves as an exemplary intervention in the politics of performative resignification. The play deconstructs the mythological figure of Satyavati from the Mahabharata—the fisherwoman whose natural body odor (matsyagandha) was miraculously transformed by sage Parasara into celestial fragrance (yojanagandha) before she could be deemed suitable for royal copulation—and superimposes this mythic narrative onto the contemporary lived struggles of Kerala's subaltern fisherwomen battling displacement, corporate exploitation, and patriarchal violence (Madathil, 2010; Devika, 2007).

In Matsyagandhi, Madathil does not conform to the polite, sanitized body of middle-class theatrical realism. Instead, she unleashes a visceral, guttural performance: she squats, screams, hurls verbal abuse at the state and patriarchy, and openly invokes the pungent, non-negotiable smell of raw fish, sea salt, and female sweat (Madathil, 2010). Applying Butler's framework, this performance enacts an aggressive parodic subversion of the regulatory norms that produce the "proper" Malayali woman (Butler, 1990; Butler, 1993). By deliberately rejecting the polished, sanitized elocution and restrained physical gestures expected of female actors, Madathil makes the visceral labor of the subaltern woman visible and audible, refusing to allow the male or upper-caste spectator the comfort of passive aesthetic consumption. The performance stages what Jill Dolan defines as a "feminist spectator"—an active, engaged witness who is compelled to confront their own complicity in the structures of caste and gender subjugation that the performance lays bare (Dolan, 1988). Similarly, the theatrical work of Sreeja K. V., particularly in plays like Chakki Chankaran and various street-theatre adaptations, interrogates the daily micro-politics of domestic labor and sexual violence. Sreeja abandons the traditional proscenium boundary, operating in intimate courtyards, college quadrangles, and urban streets, thereby abolishing the physical distance between spectator and performer that traditionally shielded patriarchal violence from public scrutiny (Chandrasekhar, 2006; Aston, 1995). In these spaces, the female body does not perform a scripted text written by a male political leader; rather, the body itself becomes the text, utilizing non-linear narratives,

fragmented speech, ritual chanting, and raw physical vulnerability to articulate experiences of domestic battery, marital rape, and emotional confinement that the heroic realism of the KPAC era had systematically silenced (Devika, 2005; Subramanyam, 2002).

This shift from melodramatic containment to bodily autonomy fundamentally alters the mechanics of theatrical performativity. If the early Malayalam stage substituted real women with male bodies, and the mid-century stage disciplined female bodies to fit patriarchal communist archetypes, contemporary feminist theatre mobilizes the female body as an un-containable, defiant presence. It recognizes that gender performativity is not a performance that one puts on like a costume in the morning and removes at night; rather, it is the compulsory matrix through which bodies are materialized as human (Butler, 1993). By deliberately staging acts of physical resistance—unveiling the female body from ornamental modesty, confronting patriarchal harassment through direct address, and staging alternative histories of Dalit, Bahujan, and working-class women—contemporary practitioners demonstrate that the theatrical stage remains Kerala's most volatile arena for disrupting hegemonic power and reclaiming democratic space.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of women's presence on the Malayalam stage chronicles a Century-long struggle over corporeal sovereignty, spatial democratization, and cultural representation. From the ritualized exclusions of the pre-modern temple arts and the strategic male transvestism of early traditional performance, through the dramatic spatial crossings incited by social reform movements like Adukkalayil Ninnu Arangathukku, the secular theatrical stage emerged as a decisive frontier for challenging feudal caste-patriarchy. The progressive political theatre of the KPAC institutionalized this breakthrough by placing real women at the physical center of mass mobilization, establishing the working-class female body as an indispensable agent of regional socialist transformation, even while struggling to free itself from conservative domestic surveillance and patronizing narrative archetypes.

Through the theoretical framework of Judith Butler's gender performativity, this paper has demonstrated how the physical act of performance functions not merely as passive cultural expression, but as an active, disruptive intervention within historical regulatory regimes. The stylized acts that sustained patriarchal dominance for generations—demure vocalization, private cloistering, and moral subservience—were systematically exposed as contingent, artificial social constructs when women seized

the stage to act, speak, scream, and organize. In the contemporary era, feminist avant-garde and grassroots practitioners have pushed this performative agency to its radical horizon, deconstructing the male gaze, interrogating caste and subaltern intersections, and transforming the theatrical space into an unapologetic site of bodily autonomy and counter-hegemonic critique. The trajectory of women on the Malayalam stage mirrors the unresolved contradictions of Kerala's wider democratic project. While legal, educational, and political reforms have long positioned Kerala as an egalitarian model of social development, the cultural sphere has consistently remained a battlefield where traditional patriarchal anxieties reassert themselves. By refusing spatial confinement and reclaiming their voices, female performers on the Malayalam stage have consistently unmasked these hypocrisies, transforming performance into a profound political instrument of continuous emancipation and social change.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aston, Elaine. *An Introduction to Feminism and Theatre*. Routledge, 1995.
- [2] Bhattathiripad, V. T. *Adukkalayil Ninnu Arangathukku [From the Kitchen to the Stage]*. D.C. Books, 1988.
- [3] Bhasi, Thoppil. *Ningalenne Communistakki [You Made Me a Communist]*. Prabhatham Printing and Publishing, 1952.
- [4] Butler, Judith. *Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex"*. Routledge, 1993.
- [5] Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
- [6] Case, Sue-Ellen. *Feminism and Theatre*. Macmillan, 1988.
- [7] Devika, J. *En-gendering Individuals: The Story of Gender and Education in Kerala*. Orient Longman, 2007.
- [8] Devika, J. "The Inconvenient Female: The Modern Woman and Social Reform in Kerala." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 40, no. 48, 2005, pp. 5079–5086.
- [9] Diamond, Elin. *Unmaking Mimesis: Essays on Feminism and Theater*. Routledge, 1997.
- [10] Dolan, Jill. *The Feminist Spectator as Critic*. University of Michigan Press, 1988.
- [11] Jeffrey, Robin. *Politics, Women and Well-Being: How Kerala Became a 'Model'*. Palgrave Macmillan, 1992.
- [12] Kumar, Radha. *The History of Doing: An Illustrated Account of Movements for Women's Rights and Feminism in India, 1800-1990*. Kali for Women, 1993.
- [13] Lalitha, KPAC. *Katha Thudaram [The Story Will Continue]*. Mathrubhumi Books, 2013.
- [14] Madathil, Sajitha. (2010). *Malayala Nadakastree Charithram [History of Women in Malayalam Theatre]*. Mathrubhumi Books.
- [15] Menon, Dilip M. *Caste, Nationalism and Communism in South India: Malabar, 1900-1948*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [16] Mulvey, Laura. "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema." *Screen*, vol. 16, no. 3, 1975, pp. 6–18.

- [17] Nilayamgode, Devaki. *Antharjanam: Memoirs of a Namboodiri Woman*. Translated by Indira Menon and Radhika P. Menon, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [18] Panikkar, K. N. *Culture, Ideology, Hegemony: Intellectuals and Social Consciousness in Colonial India*. Anthem Press, 1995.
- [19] Reinelt, Janelle. *After Brecht: British Epic Theater*. University of Michigan Press, 1996.
- [20] Saradamoni, K. *Matriliny Transformed: Family, Law and Ideology in Twentieth Century Kerala*. Sage Publications, 1999.
- [21] Seemanthini, Niranjana. *Gender and Space: Femininity, Sexualization and the Female Body*. Sage Publications, 2001.
- [22] Subramanyam, Lakshmi, editor. *Muffled Voices: Women in Modern Indian Theatre*. Shakti Books, 2002.
- [23] Tharu, Susie, and K. Lalita, editors. *Women Writing in India: 600 B.C. to the Present. Volume II: The Twentieth Century*. The Feminist Press, 1993.
- [24] Vaid, Sudesh, and Kumkum Sangari, editors. *Recasting Women: Essays in Colonial History*. Kali for Women, 1989.
- [25] Williams, Raymond. *Marxism and Literature*. Oxford University Press, 1977.
- [26] Zarrilli, Phillip B. *Kathakali Dance-Drama: Where Gods and Demons Come to Play*. Routledge, 2000.