



# Evaluation of Natural Biostimulants for Improving Flowering and Fruiting behaviour in Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) var. Nucellar.

S. S. Patil<sup>1</sup>, S. G. Patil<sup>2</sup>, R. V. Nainwad<sup>3</sup>, Dr. S. R. Wankhede<sup>4</sup>, Dr. S. V. Pawar<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Sc. (Hort.) student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi, Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>O/I Fruit Research Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Horticulturist, Fruit Research Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Badnapur, Maharashtra, India

Received: 18 Sep 2026; Received in revised form: 10 Oct 2026; Accepted: 16 Oct 2026; Available online: 24 Oct 2026

©2026 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract**— The present investigation was conducted during the Ambe Bahar season of 2024–25 at the Sweet Orange Research Station, Badnapur, Tq. Badnapur, Dist. Jalna, Maharashtra, to evaluate the influence of natural biostimulants on flowering and fruiting behaviour of nucellar sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) comprising seven treatments replicated thrice. The treatments consisted of brassinolide @ 10, 15 and 20 ppm and yeast extract @ 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm, along with an untreated control. The biostimulants were applied as foliar sprays at full bloom and again 30 days after full bloom. Observations were recorded on days required for flowering, number of flowers, days required from fruit set to fruit maturity, fruit set, fruit drop and fruit retention. Significant variation was observed among the treatments for flowering and fruiting parameters. Brassinolide @ 20 ppm (T3) recorded superior performance with respect to flowering and fruiting attributes, followed by brassinolide @ 15 ppm (T2), while yeast extract @ 2000 ppm (T6) performed comparatively better among the yeast extract treatments. The application of biostimulants favourably influenced fruit set and fruit retention while reducing fruit drop compared with the untreated control. Overall, foliar application of brassinolide @ 20 ppm and yeast extract @ 2000 ppm showed a positive influence on flowering and fruiting behaviour of nucellar sweet orange under the agro-climatic conditions of the Marathwada region.

**Keywords**— Sweet orange, *Citrus sinensis*, natural biostimulants, brassinolide, yeast extract, flowering, fruit set, fruit drop, fruit retention, fruit maturity.



## I. INTRODUCTION

Sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) is an important citrus fruit crop widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions for its nutritional and economic importance. In India, it is mainly grown in Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Telangana, while Jalna, Chh. Sambhajnagar, Nanded and Parbhani are major sweet orange-growing regions of Maharashtra. Sweet orange (Nucellar Mosambi) is widely cultivated in the Jalna region

due to its good yield potential and desirable fruit quality (Thorat *et al.*, 2018).

Flowering and fruiting are important phases in sweet orange production and are closely associated with fruit set, fruit retention and final crop productivity. Plant biostimulants have gained importance for their potential to improve plant growth, flowering, fruit set and crop productivity. Rouphael and Colla (2020) reported that biostimulants can enhance flowering, fruit set and crop productivity through various physiological mechanisms.

Brassinolide, a biologically active brassinosteroid, regulates important processes such as cell division, cell elongation and plant development. Bishop and Yokota (2002) described the role of brassinosteroid signaling in plant developmental processes, while Manghwar *et al.* (2022) reported its role in regulating plant growth and development.

Yeast extract is a natural biostimulant rich in amino acids, vitamins, polypeptides and minerals, and its nutrient-rich composition supports plant growth and physiological activity (Tao *et al.*, 2022). El Ghit (2020) reported that foliar application of yeast extract improved plant growth and fruit number. Considering the importance of flowering and fruiting in crop productivity, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of foliar application of brassinolide and yeast extract on flowering and fruiting parameters of nucellar sweet orange.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was undertaken during the 2024–25 season at the Sweet Orange Research Station, Badnapur, District Jalna, Maharashtra. The experiment was performed on 16-year-old nucellar sweet orange trees, for which 42 healthy and uniform trees were selected. The trial was arranged in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) consisting of seven treatments, each replicated three times, with two plants representing each treatment within a replication. The treatment schedule included brassinolide at 10, 15 and 20 ppm and yeast extract at 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm, along with an untreated control. All treatments were administered through foliar application at the full bloom stage, followed by a second application one month after the first spray.

Table 1: Treatments Details

| Sr. No | Treatment | Treatments Details       |
|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 1      | T1        | Brassinolide @ 10 ppm    |
| 2      | T2        | Brassinolide @ 15 ppm    |
| 3      | T3        | Brassinolide @ 20 ppm    |
| 4      | T4        | Yeast extract @ 1000 ppm |
| 5      | T5        | Yeast extract @ 1500 ppm |
| 6      | T6        | Yeast extract @ 2000 ppm |
| 7      | T7        | Control                  |

## Flowering Parameters:

**Number of flowers per plant:** The number of flowers per plant was recorded by manually counting the flowers on each experimental tree.

**Days required for flowering:** The number of days required for flowering was recorded from the initiation of flowering to full bloom and expressed in days.

**Days required from fruit set to maturity:** The number of days required for fruit maturity was recorded from fruit set to harvest for each tree and expressed in days.

## Fruiting Parameters:

**Fruit set (%):** Fruit set was recorded by determining the number of fruits successfully established from the tagged flowers after full bloom and expressed as percentage.

**Fruit drop (%):** The set fruit from above tagged flowers were used for studying the intensity of fruit drop up to the time of harvesting and percentage were worked out.

$$\text{Fruit drop (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of fruit set} - \text{No. of fruits at harvest time}}{\text{Total no. of fruit set}} \times 100$$

**Fruit retention (%):** At the time of harvest, we counted the total number of fruits that remained on the tagged flowers. The percentage of fruit retention was then calculated based on the number of fruits initially present at the fruit set stage.

$$\text{Fruit retention (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits as harvest}}{\text{Total no. of fruit set}} \times 100$$

**Statistical Analysis:** The experimental data were statistically analysed as per the methods described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The standard error (S.E.) and critical difference (C.D.) at the 5% level of significance were calculated wherever applicable.

## III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**The effect of biostimulants on flowering parameters:**

Table 2.: Effect of Brassinolide and Yeast extract treatments on Days required for flowering, days required for fruit set to fruit maturity and Number of flowers.

| Treatment | Treatments Detail        | Days required for flowering | Days required from fruit set to fruit maturity | Number of flowers (Per tree) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| T1        | Brassinolide @ 10 ppm    | 17.69                       | 243.81                                         | 8076.97                      |
| T2        | Brassinolide @ 15 ppm    | 16.83                       | 239.05                                         | 8223.73                      |
| T3        | Brassinolide @ 20 ppm    | 15.46                       | 220.60                                         | 8806.11                      |
| T4        | Yeast extract @ 1000 ppm | 18.09                       | 244.00                                         | 7761.96                      |
| T5        | Yeast extract @ 1500 ppm | 16.93                       | 235.74                                         | 7930.35                      |
| T6        | Yeast extract @ 2000 ppm | 15.97                       | 232.23                                         | 8616.11                      |
| T7        | Control                  | 18.98                       | 255.29                                         | 7567.01                      |

Biostimulant application significantly influenced flowering behaviour of sweet orange (Table 1). The number of flowers per tree was highest with T<sub>3</sub> (brassinolide @ 20 ppm; 8806.11), which was at par with T<sub>6</sub> (8616.11) and T<sub>2</sub> (8223.73), whereas the lowest number was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (7567.01). The time required for flowering was shortest under T<sub>3</sub> (15.46 days), at par with T<sub>6</sub> (15.97), T<sub>2</sub> (16.83) and T<sub>5</sub> (16.93), while T<sub>7</sub> required the maximum period (18.98 days). Similarly, the minimum duration from fruit set to fruit maturity was recorded with T<sub>3</sub> (220.60 days), statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub> (232.23 days), whereas the maximum duration was observed in T<sub>7</sub> (255.29 days).

The earlier flowering and reduced time to fruit maturity under T<sub>3</sub> indicate a favourable response of

brassinolide, while T<sub>6</sub> showed a comparatively better response among yeast extract treatments. The response may be associated with the reported role of brassinolide in promoting flowering, whereas yeast extract may improve nutrient uptake and thereby support flowering and subsequent development.

These findings are consistent with Tepkaew *et al.* (2022) and Al-Ealayawi and Al-Dulaimy (2023) for brassinolide-induced flowering, and with Faten and Bakry (2004) and El-Desouky *et al.* (2011) for the beneficial effect of yeast extract on flowering.

#### The effect of biostimulants on Fruiting parameters:

Table 3.: Effect of Brassinolide and Yeast extract treatments on Fruit set (%), Fruit drop (%) and Fruit retention(%).

| Treatment | Treatments Detail        | Fruit set (%) | Fruit drop (%) | Fruit retention (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| T1        | Brassinolide @ 10 ppm    | 21.83         | 82.25          | 17.75               |
| T2        | Brassinolide @ 15 ppm    | 25.70         | 80.16          | 19.84               |
| T3        | Brassinolide @ 20 ppm    | 27.32         | 79.30          | 20.70               |
| T4        | Yeast extract @ 1000 ppm | 17.42         | 84.92          | 15.08               |
| T5        | Yeast extract @ 1500 ppm | 19.93         | 83.38          | 16.62               |
| T6        | Yeast extract @ 2000 ppm | 23.35         | 82.53          | 17.46               |
| T7        | Control                  | 16.43         | 86.82          | 13.17               |

Biostimulant application significantly affected fruit set, fruit drop and fruit retention (Table 2). Fruit set was highest with T<sub>3</sub> (27.32%), followed by T<sub>2</sub> (25.70%) and T<sub>6</sub> (23.35%), compared with 16.43% in the control. Fruit drop was lowest under T<sub>3</sub> (79.30%), at par with T<sub>2</sub> (80.16%) and T<sub>1</sub> (82.25%), whereas the control recorded the highest drop (86.82%). Conversely, fruit retention was highest with

T<sub>3</sub> (20.70%), statistically at par with T<sub>2</sub> (19.84%) and T<sub>6</sub> (17.46%), while the lowest retention (13.17%) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub>.

The higher fruit set and retention and lower fruit drop under T<sub>3</sub> indicate a favourable effect of brassinolide on fruit establishment and retention. Among yeast extract treatments, T<sub>6</sub> (2000 ppm) showed a comparatively better

response. The improved fruit set with yeast extract was attributed in the source to enhanced nutrient uptake, while the reduction in fruit drop and increase in fruit retention were associated with biostimulant application.

The present findings are in agreement with Mostafa and Kotb (2018), Ali *et al.* (2019) and Sharma (2021) for improved fruit set, and with Ali *et al.* (2019), Kumari *et al.* (2019) and El-Boray *et al.* (2015) for reduced fruit drop. The improvement in fruit retention is also supported by Mostafa and Kotb (2018) and Kumari *et al.* (2019).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Biostimulant application significantly influenced the flowering and fruiting performance of sweet orange. Brassinolide @ 20 ppm (T<sub>3</sub>) emerged as the most effective treatment, promoting early flowering and fruit maturity and increasing the number of flowers per plant. It also enhanced fruit set and fruit retention while reducing fruit drop compared with the control. Overall, brassinolide @ 20 ppm demonstrated the most favourable effect on flowering and fruiting performance of sweet orange.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Ealayawi, Z. A., & Al-Dulaimy, A. F. (2023, December). Response of Strawberry saplings Cv. Albion to spraying with Seaweed Extract, Arginine and Brassinolide. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 1262, No. 4, p. 042065)*. IOP Publishing.
- [2] Ali, M. A., Ezz, T. M., Abd El-Megeed, N., & Ismail, M. (2019). Foliar Application of Kelpak, Brassinolide and Boron in Relation to Fruit Set, Drop, Yield and Fruit Quality of Canino'Apricot Trees. *Journal of the Advances in Agricultural Researches*, 24(2), 194-211.
- [3] Bishop, G. J., & Yokota, T. (2002). Brassinosteroids and plant steroid hormone biosynthesis signaling. *Plant Physiology*, 130(1), 1–7.
- [4] El-Boray, M. S., Mostafa, M. F. M., Salem, S. E., & El-Sawwah, O. A. O. (2015). Improving yield and fruit quality of Washington navel orange using foliar applications of some natural biostimulants. *Journal of Plant Production*, 6(8), 1317–1332.
- [5] El-Desouky, S. A., Ismaeil, F. H., Wanas, A. L., Fathy, E. S. L., Abd El-All, M. M., & Abd, M. M. (2011). Effect of yeast extract, amino acids and citric acid on physioanatomical aspects and productivity of tomato plants grown in late summer season. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res*, 36(4), 859-884.
- [6] El Ghit, H. M. A. (2020). Effect of application of yeast extract on hydroponic tomato plants grown in a soilless culture system.
- [7] Faten, H. M., & Bakry, K. H. (2004). Response of papaya plants to some chemical substances and yeast extract treatments. *Proceedings the American society of Horticultural sciences*, 75, 244-252.
- [8] Kumari, S., Yeptho, K. Y., Pande, K. K., Roshan, R. K., & Debbarma, A. (2019) Crosstalk effect of brassinosteroids and gibberelic acid affects plant phenology, yield and fruit quality traits in sugar apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) cv. Balanagar.
- [9] Manghwar, H., Hussain, A., Ali, U., Shoukat, A., Ali, M., Shabbir, M. A., & Wang, G. (2022). Brassinosteroids role in plant development and stress responses: A review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 8835148.
- [10] Mostafa, L. Y., & Kotb, H. R. (2018). Effect of brassinosteroids and gibberellic acid on parthenocarpic fruit formation and fruit quality of sugar apple *Annona squamosa* L. *Middle East J*, 7(4), 1341-1351.
- [11] Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V. and Sable. (1995). *Statistical method for Agriculture*. workers Pub. I.C.A.R. New Delhi.
- [12] Roupheal, Y., & Colla, G. (2020). Biostimulants in agriculture. *Frontiers in plant science*, 11,40.
- [13] Sharma, S. K. (2021). Brassinosteroids application responses in fruit crops-a review. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, 14(2), 123-140.
- [14] Tao, Z., Yuan, H., Liu, M., Liu, Q., Zhang, S., Liu, H., ... & Wang, T. (2022). Yeast extract: characteristics, production, applications and future perspectives. *Journal of microbiology and biotechnology*, 33(2), 151.
- [15] Tepkaew, T., Khamsuk, O., Chumpookam, J., Sonjaroon, W., & Jutamane, K. (2022). Exogenous brassinosteroids regulate mango fruit set through inflorescence development and pollen fertility. *Horticultural Science and Technology*, 40(5), 481-495.
- [16] Thorat MA, Patil MB, Patil SG and Deshpande DP (2018). Effect of soil and foliar application of zinc on quality parameters of sweet orange Var. Nucellar (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(5), 737-740.